



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/732,621	12/08/2000	Wooyoung Kim	10001279-1	9724

7590 09/12/2005  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

COLIN, CARL G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**MAILED**

SEP 12 2005

**Technology Center 2100**

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/732,621  
Filing Date: December 08, 2000  
Appellant(s): KIM ET AL.

---

R. Ross Viguet  
For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to the appeal brief filed June 21, 2005.

*MC*

**(1) *Real Party in Interest***

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

**(2) *Related Appeals and Interferences***

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

**(3) *Status of Claims***

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

**(4) *Status of Amendments After Final***

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

**(5) *Summary of Claimed Subject Matter***

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is deficient. 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) requires the summary of claimed subject matter to include: (1) a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters and (2) for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. The brief is deficient because on page 3, second paragraph, line 5, the

Art Unit: 2136

statement "sending the query to a remote core", page 13, lines 3-4 should be page 14, lines 3-4.

Also, on page 3, first paragraph, line 7 and page 3, second paragraph, line 11, the citation (page 14, lines 2-3) is not directed to figure 4 but instead it is directed to 922, figure 9B.

**(6) *Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal***

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

**(7) *Claims Appendix***

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

**(8) *Evidence Relied Upon***

6,295,531

BAE et al

9-2001

**(9) *Grounds of Rejection***

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,295,531 to Bae et al. This rejection is set forth in a prior Office Action, mailed on January 27, 2005.

**As per claims 1 and 8**, Bae et al discloses a method and system of locating a remote resource using a system core, the method comprising: a client interfacing with a browser (column 9, lines 37-39) which provides window area for entry of input data that meets the recitation of receiving a query from a client; Appellee may also interpret receiving a query from a client as the query is transferred from the client browser to the web server (column 9, lines 32-46; column 10, line 5; and column 10, lines 60-63). As the Appellee interprets the following limitation, Bae et al also discloses a query is sent from the client system (system core) to a web

Art Unit: 2136

server or Cool Ice system (remote core) over a world wide web path (communication network), (see column 10, lines 60-64); and in response to the query, receiving from the Cool Ice (remote core) a URL message ID (message) identifying a service among services 192, 194, 196 (a remote resource), (see column 11, line 60 through column 12, line 20).

**(10) Response to Argument**

Regarding claims 1 and 8, the appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection of the claims is not correct. The issue raised by appellant was fully responded under the grounds of rejection. In addition, the issue raised by appellant: page 6, lines 3-9 that the user needs to supply other information is not pertinent to the claims. The argument presented thereafter that "the user of Bae is not locating a remote resource" is not claimed. In addition, Appellant also mentions page 6, line 11 that the user of Bae has already specified the identity of the database. Appellee respectfully disagrees and asserts that this statement is false. The URL specified in column 12, lines 1-16 is a newly created service, identifying the location information of the service (resource) not previously identified by the user.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, in response to Appellant's response that the client of Bae is incapable of running the query in an advertising service associated with the system core, Appellee respectfully disagrees. Bae discloses that the Cool Ice system (advertising service) may serve as an Internet access provider for the client (column 7, lines 50-54) and further discloses that the Cool Ice system (advertising service) may serve as part of an Intranet or other localized network link.

Regarding claim 5, in response to Appellant's response that Appellee has failed to identify a structure that corresponds to an advertising service, the Cool Ice Homepage clearly

Art Unit: 2136

identifies a structure that corresponds to an advertising service as required by claim 5 (column 13, lines 60-67).

Regarding claims 6-7 and 12-13, in response to Appellant's response of Bae not describing accessing a portal. Appellee respectfully disagrees. Bae discloses that the Cool Ice system is remotely located, thus it may be accessed from the browser or a service provider (column 7, lines 45-67 and column 10, lines 36-51) via world wide web paths using a previously defined URL (see column 16, lines 24-30); the portal in this case is the path of communication that guides to the Cool Ice Homepage. Bae further discloses receiving a Cool Ice Homepage that includes a button (connection object) from the remote server (remote core) associated with the Cool Ice system (remote advertising service), (see column 16, lines 24-30).

***(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix***

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,



Carl Colin  
August 30, 2005

Conferees:

Chris Revak



Ayaz Sheikh



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400; Fort Collins, CO 80527-240